



Republic of the Philippines  
National Police Commission  
**NATIONAL HEADQUARTERS, PHILIPPINE NATIONAL POLICE**  
**DIRECTORATE FOR INVESTIGATION AND DETECTIVE MANAGEMENT**  
Camp Crame, Quezon City

**MEMORANDUM**

FOR : C, PNP

THRU : TDCA

TDCO On Leave/O.B.

TACDS

FROM : TADIDM

SUBJECT : **Case Review Committee to Evaluate Dismissed Cases  
Related to Illegal Drugs, Heinous, and Sensational Crimes**

DATE : SEP 26 2013

OCT 02 2013  
DATE  
APPROVED / DISAPPROVED  
[Signature]

1. Attached is Command Memorandum Circular entitled **CASE REVIEW COMMITTEE TO EVALUATE DISMISSED CASES RELATED TO ILLEGAL DRUGS, HEINOUS, AND SENSATIONAL CRIMES** which shall put in place a system of procedure to review cases filed by the PNP, with the primary objectives of raising crime solution efficiency and attaining higher conviction rate.

2. The CMC provides for the creation of Committees that will review the grounds for the dismissal of cases related to illegal drugs, heinous and sensational crimes, by the Courts as well as by the Prosecution Services, from the standpoint of errors and negligence committed by PNP personnel.

3. The review of cases aims to uncover the recurrence of specific lapses, ill motivated actions and/or negligence that result in the dismissal of cases or acquittal of the accused, to identify the PNP personnel responsible thereto and to hold them accountable. The system shall therefore serve as a deterrent to the repetition of the same deliberate acts, mistakes and negligence in future cases.

4. In the course of case evaluation, perceived lapses and/or errors that may have been committed by the Courts and the Prosecution Services shall likewise be noted for purposes of recommending remedial and administrative measures against judges and prosecutors to their respective disciplinary authorities.

5. This CMC is complementary to the CMC on the Investigators Performance Matrix (IPM) as the Committee findings will ensure that the mistakes, errors, negligence and other lapses committed by the PNP investigators shall be encoded in their respective IPM records.

6. Recommend approval and signature of the attached CMC.

[Signature]

**FRANCISCO DON C MONTENEGRO, Ph.D.**  
Police Chief Superintendent

Encl: a/s



OCPNP  
In Doc '13 P303527



OTCDS



C178 InDoc 2013

A-2013

T04116A

SEP 30 2013



Republic of the Philippines  
NATIONAL POLICE COMMISSION  
NATIONAL HEADQUARTERS, PHILIPPINE NATIONAL POLICE  
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF, PNP  
Camp Crame, Quezon City

OCT 02 2013

**COMMAND MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR  
NUMBER 49 / 13**

**CASE REVIEW COMMITTEE TO EVALUATE DISMISSED CASES  
RELATED TO ILLEGAL DRUGS, HEINOUS, AND SENSATIONAL CRIMES**

**1. REFERENCES:**

- a. PNP Strategic Focus, CODE-P: 2013 AND BEYOND, in realization of the PNP P.A.T.R.O.L. PLAN 2030;
- b. National Police Commission Memorandum Circular 2013-002, Professionalizing the PNP Field Investigation Service Through the Implementation of a Certification Program for Field Investigators of the PNP and Promulgating Guidelines for the Purpose dated March 19, 2013;
- c. CMC Number 48 / 13, Mandatory Accomplishment and Submission of Investigator's Performance Matrix (IPM) by Field Investigators and Detectives;
- d. SOP Number 2012-001, Conduct of Case Review dated June 28, 2012;
- e. SOP Number ODIDM-2011-003, Conduct of Crime Scene Investigation dated January 26, 2011;
- f. SOP Number 02/11 Procedures in the Creation of Special Investigation Task Group (SITG) to Handle Heinous and Sensational Crimes dated January 26, 2011;
- g. PNP Manual PNPM-DIDM-DS-9-2, Field Manual on Investigation of Crimes of Violence and Other Crimes (2011);
- h. PNP Police Operational Procedures dated March 2010;
- i. LOI 02/09 Unit Crime Periodic Report (UCPER) dated April 22, 2009; and
- j. Memorandum Circular No. 2008-0801-003, Uniform Guidelines in the Service of Subpoena and other Legal Processes Issued by the Courts, Tribunals, Administrative and Quasi-Judicial Bodies dated August 1, 2008.

## 2. PURPOSE:

- a. This Command Memorandum Circular (CMC) provides for the organization of Case Review Committees ('Committee' for brevity) to review and analyze the reasons for the dismissal of cases pertaining to illegal drugs, heinous, and sensational crimes from the standpoint of errors and negligence committed by PNP personnel;
- b. It shall put in place a system to review the above-mentioned cases with the dual primary objectives of raising crime solution efficiency and batting for higher conviction rate. The review aims to uncover the specific lapses and/or negligence that commonly result to the dismissal of cases or acquittal of the accused, to identify the PNP personnel responsible thereto and to hold them accountable. The system shall therefore serve as deterrent to the repetition of the same mistakes and negligence in future cases. It will also instill and promote competence, discipline, and professionalism in the PNP organization in line with the PNP Strategic Focus, CODE-P: 2013 AND BEYOND, in realization of the PNP P.A.T.R.O.L. PLAN 2030; and
- c. In the course of the case evaluation, the Committee shall also note down perceived lapses/errors/anomalies of the Courts and the Prosecution Services for purposes of recommending remedial/disciplinary measures to the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and/or to the Secretary of Justice.

## 3. DEFINITION OF TERMS:

- a. **Dismissed Cases** - cover the disposition of cases by the Courts and by the Prosecution Services;
  - 1) Courts – judgment of acquittal and dismissal due to insufficiency of evidence, fabricated charges, failure to prosecute, planting of evidence, lack of jurisdiction, wrong venue and other reasons.
  - 2) Prosecution Services – dismissal due to lack of probable cause; inquest cases Release for Further Investigation (RFI).
- b. **Drug-Related Cases** – refer to cases involving Violations of RA 9165 or the "Comprehensive Dangerous Drugs Act of 2002"; and
- c. **Heinous and Sensational Cases** – refer to cases where the crime committed was directed against Elected Government Officials (EGO), officials appointed by the President, Judges, Prosecutors, members of the Philippine Bar, media practitioners, militant party list members/leftists, labor leaders, foreign nationals, and other persons, by means of shooting, bombing, strafing, enforced disappearance, and other violent acts, resulting in their death or incapacitation that attract national/international public and/or media attention or scrutiny.

## 4. SCOPE:

Cases related to illegal drugs, heinous, and sensational crimes filed by the PNP that are dismissed by the Courts and by the Prosecution Services shall be reviewed by the Committee.

## **5. SITUATION:**

Numerous schoolings and trainings were already conducted and are continually being conducted in order to enhance the investigative and operational capabilities of police investigators and other PNP operatives. In addition, various issuances and policy guidelines are being issued to effectively equip them in carrying out their respective duties and functions.

Despite these, a large percentage of criminal cases filed in Court are nonetheless dismissed or result in the acquittal of the accused. Information gathered from the Office of the Court Administrator of the Supreme Court (OCADSC) reveals that about 90% of criminal cases in general - 70% of which are drug-related cases - are either dismissed or resulted in the acquittal of the accused for various reasons. The most prevalent of these include lapses and/or negligence committed by police personnel. This led DIDM to arrange with the OCADSC to obtain copies of decisions/orders of dismissal in order for the PNP to study the reasons/grounds for such dismissal and to act accordingly.

There are reports coming from lower PNP units of perceived lapses/errors/anomalies by the Courts and the Prosecution Services in the dispensation of justice. In the Peña case, the court could have erred in granting the Order of Replevin because under the rule and settled jurisprudence, Replevin should not be granted if the properties are the subject of a search warrant and/or subject of a pending criminal case. In the case of Lantud, the investigating prosecutor resolved immediately the countercharge filed by the respondents against the policemen inspite of the earlier cases filed by the PNP, insinuating suspicions of bias of the prosecutor in favor of the respondents.

Towards this purpose, Committees are hereby created at all Headquarters of National Operating Support Units (NOSUs); District Police Offices (DPOs), National Capital Region Police Office (NCRPO); Provincial Police Offices (PPOs) and City Police Offices (CPOs) to review and analyze closely the lapses and/or negligence committed, as well as to identify the individual personnel concerned, with the end in view of obviating a repetition of the mistakes and negligence and to hold accountable the erring personnel.

## **6. POLICY GUIDELINES:**

- a. The review of dismissed cases shall be carried out promptly, the result of which shall be reported expeditiously and strictly within the time frame allotted herein;
- b. The report of the Committee shall include all matters set forth in the operational guidelines and should be duly certified to as true and correct;
- c. Interviews to be conducted by the Committee shall be fact-finding in nature and not in the form of a disciplinary type hearing; and
- d. The Committee shall have the power to recommend the conduct of Pre-Charge Evaluation and Investigation (PCEI) and Summary Hearing Proceedings to the Directors of NOSUs, DPOs, PPOs and CPOs, against personnel found to have committed an offense.

## **7. THE CASE REVIEW COMMITTEE:**

The composition of the Committees in each of the NOSUs/DPOs/PPOs/CPOs is attached as Annex "A".

The Committee may seek the assistance of the following in the review of difficult cases with complex issues:

- a. Provincial/City Prosecutor;
- b. Provincial/City Director, National Police Commission;
- c. Members of the Academe (Law School Deans and Professors);
- d. Representative, Local Chapter of the Integrated Bar of the Philippines;  
and
- e. Other Stakeholders.

## **8. OPERATIONAL GUIDELINES AND PROCEDURES:**

### **a. General**

- 1) The Director, NOSU; District Director, NCRPO; Provincial Director; and City Director ('Director' for brevity), shall direct their respective Committees herein created to convene regularly on a monthly basis to review the dismissed cases.
- 2) The Committee shall submit a monthly report to its Director not later than the last day of every month using the template attached as Annex "B".
- 3) Upon receipt of the Committee Report, the Director, at his instance or upon the recommendation of the Committee, shall order a PCEI, the conduct of Summary Hearing Proceedings, and forthwith impose penalty to his personnel found to have committed acts constituting administrative offenses. If the penalty imposed is not within his authority, the Director shall endorse the administrative case to higher authorities. For criminal offenses as prescribed in RA 9165, the Director shall ensure that the erring personnel is charged in court.
- 4) All directors of offices and chiefs of police stations shall be responsible for the effective implementation of this CMC. Towards this end, they must allocate the necessary logistical and financial support, elicit the participation of their respective Committee members, and ensure that the conduct of the case review and the information gathered shall be confidential.

### **b. Specifics**

- 1) The DIDM Case Monitoring Division (CMD) shall obtain on a monthly basis from the OCADSC, the decisions and/or orders of dismissed cases on illegal drugs and shall cause their segregation to be distributed to the PROs and NOSUs.

- 2) Through a memorandum directive, DIDM will forward the segregated decisions and/or orders to the Directors of Police Regional Offices and NOSUs, who shall likewise forward the same to the PPOs, CPOs, or DPOs, as the case may be, not later than the 5<sup>th</sup> day of every month.
- 3) The Directors shall secure from the prosecution offices within their respective jurisdictions copies of resolutions dismissing cases filed by the PNP related to illegal drugs, heinous, and sensational crimes within the first 5 days of the month. If warranted by the circumstances, such as the non-submission by the Courts within their respective areas of jurisdiction of copies of decisions/orders of dismissal to the OCADSC, the Directors concerned shall obtain copies thereof directly from the Courts, within the same period.
- 4) During the actual case review, the Committee shall carefully evaluate and examine every document available in order to determine the reason/s why the case was dismissed by the Court or Prosecution Service, or resulted in the acquittal of the accused using the template attached as Annex "B". The template contains the following information:
  - a) Identification of the police personnel involved in the case and their respective roles in the investigation, e.g., Investigator on Case, Team Leader, Arresting Officer, Poseur Buyer, Assault Member, etc;
  - b) The number of attendance/appearance in court including information on the issues, problems, and/or difficulties encountered in securing his/her attendance in court to testify;
  - c) Location of the Court/Prosecution Office, name of Judge/Prosecutor, Case No., Date Case Filed by the PNP, Date of Dismissal, Trial Period, Disposition, Cause of Dismissal;
  - d) The weight accorded by the court in the police officer's testimony whether weak, inconsistent, credible, perjured, etc;
  - e) Contribution, if any, to the dismissal of the case or acquittal of the accused such as findings of negligence, lapses or deliberate acts inimical to the successful prosecution of the case;
  - f) Recommendation of courses of action to prevent similar outcome of future cases; and
  - g) Other comments and observations on the handling of the case by the particular Judge or Prosecutor.
- 5) If necessary to obtain more detailed information, the Committee may secure Transcript of Stenographic Notes (TSN).
- 6) The Committee may require any of the police officers mentioned in the Decision/Order to personally appear before them in order to ask clarificatory questions or to submit additional documents.

- 7) The procedures laid down in SOP Number 2012-001 (Conduct of Case Review) shall be applied suppletorily by the Committee in reviewing the cases.
- 8) The conduct of case review shall be recorded in the minutes of its deliberations which shall be the basis in the preparation of a written narrative report and the matrix template (Annex "B") which shall be submitted to the Directors.
- 9) The Directors shall submit a quarterly report, specifically on or before the 15<sup>th</sup> day of March, June, September, and December to DIDM, through the RDs, PROs (NOSU Directors shall likewise submit to DIDM during the same period), as follows:
  - a) Summary of the reports of the Committees within their respective jurisdictions using the sample template attached as Annex "C" containing the following information whenever applicable:
    - City/ Municipality
    - Particular Court, name of Judge/Prosecutor
    - Case Number
    - Date Case Filed by the PNP
    - Date of Decision/Order/Resolution
    - Trial Period
    - Disposition
    - Cause of Dismissal
  - b) Statistics or proportion of the occurrence of the reasons for the dismissal;
  - c) Recommendation for disciplinary measures and criminal charges against erring PNP personnel; and
  - d) Perceived lapses/errors/anomalies committed by Judges/Prosecutors, the reasons therefor and recommended action.
- 10) Based on the consolidated reports and recommendations submitted by the NOSUs/PROs, DIDM shall formulate appropriate policies, guidelines, Command Memorandum Circulars and/or SOPs. The PNP may likewise submit recommendations to the Supreme Court, DOJ and Congress, among others, for changes in policies, rules, procedures, or law.
- 11) The PNP through the DIDM may also endorse administrative charges and/or investigations to the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and/or to the Secretary of Justice against Judges and/or Prosecutors who are observed to have deliberate failures in the dispensation of justice.

**9. ENCODING THE RESULTS OF THE COMMITTEE'S CASE REVIEWS IN THE INVESTIGATOR'S PERFORMANCE MATRIX:**

The Committee shall ensure that the details of their review on the mistakes, errors, negligence and other lapses committed by the PNP personnel shall be encoded in their respective records. In the case of field investigators and/or detectives, the same shall be encoded in the Investigator Performance Matrix mandated under

PNP Command Memorandum Circular Number 48 / 13 (Mandatory Accomplishment and Submission of Investigators Performance Matrix by Field Investigators and Detectives).

**10. TASKING:**

a. The Director for Investigation and Detective Management (TDIDM)

- 1) OPR of this CMC;
- 2) Coordinate with the OCADSC to obtain data and other information regarding criminal cases dismissed by the Courts or resulted to the acquittal of the accused;
- 3) Upon receipt of Court Orders or Decisions from the OCADSC, the same shall be segregated by CMD and transmitted to the NOSUs/PROs concerned;
- 4) Consolidate, review, and analyze the final reports of the Committees of all NOSUs and DPOs/PPOs/CPOs, as submitted by their respective RDs, for the formulation of appropriate policies, guidelines, CMCs and/or SOPs to guide PNP investigators accordingly; and
- 5) Perform other tasks as may be necessary in order to efficiently and effectively carry out this CMC.

b. The Director for Comptrollership (TDC)

- 1) Provide the budgetary requirements for the implementation of this CMC; and
- 2) Perform other tasks as may be necessary in order to efficiently and effectively carry out this CMC.

c. Director, Criminal Investigation and Detection Group (D, CIDG)

- 1) Compose a Committee at the Headquarters level and ensure mandatory attendance of its members as participants in the case review;
- 2) Direct Chiefs, CIDTs to act as members of the Committees at the DPOs/PPOs/CPOs and participate in the deliberation and case review;
- 3) Cause the relief of any Field Investigator or Detective who is found to be inefficient and/or ineffective in his/her work as an investigator as recommended by the Committee; and
- 4) Perform other tasks as may be necessary in order to efficiently and effectively carry out this CMC.

d. Director, Crime Laboratory Group (D, CLG)

- 1) Direct District/Provincial/City Chiefs, CLO to act as members of the Committees at the DPOs/PPOs/CPOs and participate in the deliberation and case review; and



- 2) Perform other tasks as may be necessary in order to efficiently and effectively carry out this CMC.
- e. Director, Legal Service (D, LS)
- 1) Ensure mandatory participation and cooperation of LS lawyers who will serve as members of the Committee in the case review; and
  - 2) Perform other tasks as may be necessary in order to efficiently and effectively carry out this CMC.
- f. PRO Regional Directors
- 1) Ensure the availability of records that may be needed during the case review;
  - 2) Ensure maximum participation and cooperation of police personnel who shall be part of the case review;
  - 3) Cause the relief of any Field Investigator or Detective who is found to have caused the dismissal of the case and/or acquittal of the accused as recommended by the Committee; and
  - 4) Perform other tasks as may be necessary in order to efficiently and effectively carry out this CMC.
- g. Directors of NOSUs, DPOs, PPOs and CPOs
- 1) Activate a Case Review Committee within ten (10) days after receipt of this CMC;
  - 2) Ensure the availability of records that may be needed during the case review;
  - 3) Ensure maximum participation and cooperation of police personnel who will serve as members of the Committee or as participants in the case review;
  - 4) Solicit the assistance of stakeholders, as stipulated in para 7 above, to sit as Members in the Committee;
  - 5) Provide additional logistical requirements to the Committee when needed;
  - 6) Supervise the conduct of case review and ensure that the Committee is performing the task;
  - 7) Cause the relief and institute administrative/criminal charges against any erring PNP personnel who is found to have caused the dismissal of the case and/or acquittal of the accused as recommended by the Committee; and
  - 8) Perform other tasks as may be necessary in order to efficiently and effectively carry out this CMC.

h. Chiefs of Police/Station Commanders, City/Municipal Police Stations

- 1) Ensure the attendance of all PNP personnel assigned in the station to personally appear before the Committee, submit documents required and answer clarificatory questions;
- 2) Ensure that the outputs of the Committees, pertaining to the performance of their Field Investigators/Detectives, are encoded in their respective Investigator's Performance Matrices; and
- 3) Perform other tasks as may be necessary in order to efficiently and effectively carry out this CMC.

**11. PENAL CLAUSE**

- a. The PNP personnel found to be the principal reason/s for the dismissal of the case or acquittal of the accused shall be administratively sanctioned. Actions herein punishable and are deemed to constitute Serious Irregularity in the Performance of Duty are any of the following: failure to attend hearings/trials upon proper notice/subpoena duly received; unreasonable negligence in following the standard procedures laid down by RA 9165 and other applicable laws in the conduct of police operations and the preparation of charges; planting of evidence; fabrication of offenses; testimonies inconsistent with the sworn statement earlier executed; incredible or unbelievable testimonies and such other actions done with evident bad faith and malice;
- b. Immediate supervisors and/or heads of offices who shall fail or refuse to take action on the prescribed guidelines shall be liable for Neglect of Duty. The Directors shall order the conduct of a PCEI and may order the imposition of the penalty if the case falls within his authority, otherwise he/she shall endorse disciplinary sanction to higher authorities;
- c. Deliberate or intentional manipulation, false entry, or any other acts which shall not reflect the accurate information or true situation in the conduct of case review shall constitute Serious Irregularity in the Performance of Duty. The Director shall immediately conduct a PCEI and recommend the conduct of Summary Hearing Proceedings in accordance with NAPOLCOM Memorandum Circular 2007-001; and
- d. All Directors and Chiefs of police offices and stations shall be investigated and be held accountable under the principle of Command Responsibility for the non-compliance with this CMC. Likewise, criminal complaints shall be filed against those who commit acts or omissions punishable under the Revised Penal Code or Special Laws.

**12. REPEALING CLAUSE**

Any issuances, memoranda, rules and regulations issued by the PNP which are inconsistent herewith are deemed repealed or amended accordingly.

### 13. EFFECTIVITY

This CMC shall take effect 15 days from the filing of a copy hereof at the University of the Philippines Law Center in consonance with sections 3 & 4 of Chapter 2, Book VII of Executive Order no. 292, otherwise known as "The Revised Administrative Code of 1987," as amended.



**ALAN LA MADRID PURISIMA**  
Police Director General  
Chief, PNP

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink is written over the typed name and title.

CPNP Ltrs 13 S055303



S055303

Annexes:

- "A" - Composition of Case Review Committee (PPO/CPO Level)
- "A1" - Composition of Case Review Committee (DPO Level)
- "A2" - Composition of Case Review Committee (NOSU)
- "B" - Template of Case Profile of Case Review Committee
- "C" - Template of Quarterly Report of Directors

#### DISTRIBUTION

RDs, PROs  
Dirs, NOSUs

cc: Command Group and D-Staff

COMPOSITION OF CASE REVIEW COMMITTEE TO EVALUATE DISMISSED CASES  
RELATED TO ILLEGAL DRUGS, HEINOUS AND SENSATIONAL CRIMES

**PROVINCIAL/CITY POLICE OFFICE**

DEPUTY PD FOR OPERATIONS/DEPUTY CD FOR OPERATIONS  
Chairman

CHIEF, PROVINCIAL/CITY INVESTIGATION AND DETECTIVE MANAGEMENT BRANCH  
Secretariat

CHIEF, PROVINCIAL/CITY INTELLIGENCE BRANCH  
Member

CHIEF, PROVINCIAL/CITY OPERATIONS BRANCH  
Member

TEAM LEADER, PROVINCIAL/CITY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION AND DETECTION TEAM  
Member

TEAM LEADER, PROVINCIAL/CITY CRIME LABORATORY TEAM  
Member

CHIEF, PROVINCIAL LEGAL OFFICER  
Member

PNP LEGAL SERVICE OFFICER  
Member

COMPOSITION OF CASE REVIEW COMMITTEE TO EVALUATE DISMISSED CASES  
RELATED TO ILLEGAL DRUGS, HEINOUS AND SENSATIONAL CRIMES

**DISTRICT POLICE OFFICE (NCRPO)**

DEPUTY DISTRICT DIRECTOR FOR OPERATIONS  
Chairman

CHIEF, DISTRICT INVESTIGATION AND DETECTIVE MANAGEMENT DIVISION  
Secretariat

CHIEF, DISTRICT INTELLIGENCE DIVISION  
Member

CHIEF, DISTRICT OPERATIONS AND PLANS DIVISION  
Member

CHIEF, DISTRICT CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION AND DETECTION TEAM  
Member

CHIEF, DISTRICT CRIME LABORATORY OFFICE  
Member

CHIEF, DISTRICT LEGAL OFFICER  
Member

PNP LEGAL SERVICE OFFICER  
Member

COMPOSITION OF CASE REVIEW COMMITTEE TO EVALUATE DISMISSED CASES  
RELATED TO ILLEGAL DRUGS, HEINOUS AND SENSATIONAL CRIMES

**NATIONAL OPERATIONAL SUPPORT UNITS**

DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR OPERATIONS  
Chairman

CHIEF, INVESTIGATION AND DETECTIVE MANAGEMENT DIVISION  
Secretariat

CHIEF, INTELLIGENCE DIVISION  
Member

CHIEF, OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT DIVISION  
Member

CHIEF, DISTRICT/PROVINCIAL/CITY CRIME LABORATORY TEAM  
Member

CHIEF, LEGAL OFFICER  
Member

PNP LEGAL SERVICE OFFICER  
Member

**CASE REVIEW COMMITTEE  
INDIVIDUAL CASE PROFILE  
For the Month of July CY 2014  
BOHOL PROVINCIAL POLICE OFFICE  
Camp Francisco Dagohoy, Tagbilaran City  
Phone: +63 (38) 000-0000 · Email: emailaddress@pnp.gov.ph**

|  |                     |                               |  |   |   |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|
| <b>CASE PROFILE</b>  | <b>CASE NUMBER</b>  | <b>OFFENSE CHARGED</b>        |  | <b>COURT/PROSECUTION OFFICE AND ADDRESS</b>                                     |   |
| <b>PRESIDING JUDGE/PROSECUTOR</b>  | <b>TRIAL PERIOD</b> | <b>DATE CASE FILED BY PNP</b> | <b>DATE CASE DISMISSED</b>                                   | <b>NUMBER OF APPEARANCES IN COURT</b>   | <b>ORIGIN/SOURCE OF CASE RECORD UNDER REVIEW</b><br><input type="checkbox"/> DIDM/PRO <input type="checkbox"/> Prosecution <input type="checkbox"/> Court <input type="checkbox"/> Others _____ |
| <b>ACCUSED</b>   |                     |                               | <b>DISPOSITION; REASON/S FOR CASE DISMISSAL OR ACQUITTAL</b> | <b>OBSERVATIONS ON THE MANNER OF HANDLING OF THE CASE BY JUDGES/PROSECUTORS</b> |   |
| <b>ANNEXES AND OTHER DOCUMENTS ATTACHED TO THIS WORKSHEET</b><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order Dismissing the Case <input type="checkbox"/> Committee Resolution <input type="checkbox"/> Others _____ |                     |                               |  |   |   |

| POLICE PERSONNEL INVOLVED IN THE CASE | ROLE IN THE INVESTIGATION AND/OR TRIAL | WEIGHT ACCORDED BY COURT ON ROLE OF PERSONNEL<br><small>(Pursuant to para 8b sub para 4)d), CMC Nr 2013-000)</small> | RECOMMENDED COURSES OF ACTION TO PREVENT FUTURE DISMISSAL OF CASES OF THIS NATURE |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
|                                       |  |  |   |
|                                       |  |  |   |
|                                       |  |  |   |
|                                       |  |  |   |

I HEREBY CERTIFY and attest to the correctness and truthfulness of the data stated hereon, which have been culled from the proceedings of the Case Review Committee created pursuant to NHQ PNP CMC Number 2013-000, and further submit to the legal and administrative consequences thereof if ever the statements above are wanting in truth and in substance.

**JOSE EDWIN S BANTA**  
Police Chief Inspector  
Chief, IDM Branch  
Secretary, Case Review Committee

**JUAN PEDRO C PABLO, CEO VI**  
Police Superintendent, DSC  
Deputy Provincial Director for Operations  
Chairman, Case Review Committee

**QUARTERLY REPORT OF THE PROVINCIAL DIRECTOR**

For the 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter of CY 2013

**BATANGAS PROVINCIAL POLICE OFFICE**

Camp Miguel Malvar, Batangas City

Phone: +63 (43) 000-0000 · Email: emailaddress@pnp.gov.ph

|    | City/Mun.     | Court/Pros. Office | Name Judge/Pros. | Case No. | Date Case Filed by the PNP | Date Dismissed | Trial Period   | Disposition | Cause of Dismissal or Acquittal | Recommendations                                 |
|----|---------------|--------------------|------------------|----------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------------------|---|
| 1. | Batangas City | RTC Br. 8          | Judge Meron      | 131      |                            | 7-3-2013       | 8 yrs., 8 mos. | Dismissal   | Procedural irregularities       | For invest (see separate sheet)                 |
| 2. | Lipa City     | RTC br. 13         | Judge Wala       | 111      |                            | 8-23-2013      | 2 yrs. 3 mos.  | Acquittal   | Inconsistent statements         | None<br>Plain inadvertence (see separate sheet) |
| 3. |               |                    |                  |          |                            |                |                |             |                                 |   |

**Statistics of Causes of Dismissal**

- a. failure to attend hearings/trials - 20%
- b. procedural irregularities – 10%
- c. planting of evidence – 5%
- d. fabrication of offenses – 5%
- e. inconsistent testimonies – 40%
- f. incredible or unbelievable testimonies – 25%
- g. other actions done with evident bad faith and malice – 0%

**Observations on the handling of the cases by Judges/Prosecutors and recommendations –**

---

---

I HEREBY CERTIFY and attest to the correctness and truthfulness of the data stated hereon, which have been culled from the proceedings of the Case Review Committee created pursuant to NHQ PNP CMC Number 2013-000, and further submit to the legal and administrative consequences thereof if ever the statements above are wanting in truth and in substance.

**JOSE EDWIN S BANTA**  
Police Chief Inspector  
Chief, IDM Branch  
Secretary, Case Review Committee

**JUAN PEDRO C PABLO**  
Police Superintendent, DSC  
Deputy Provincial Director  
Chairman, Case Review Committee

**ROMMEL A ROXAS, CEO VI**  
Police Senior Superintendent, DSC  
Provincial Director